

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/535,026	Applicant(s) BHATTACHARYA ET AL.	
	Examiner EDNA WONG	Art Unit 1795	

All Participants:

(1) EDNA WONG.

(2) Paul J. White.

Date of Interview: 11 August 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

During a telephone conversation with Paul J. White on August 11, 2008 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-11 and 15.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

/Edna Wong/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)